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IMPORTANCE The US Army experienced a sharp increase in soldier suicides beginning in 2004. Administrative data reveal that among those at highest risk are soldiers in the 12 months after inpatient treatment of a psychiatric disorder.

OBJECTIVE To develop an actuarial risk algorithm predicting suicide in the 12 months after US Army inpatient treatment of a psychiatric disorder to target expanded posthospitalization care.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS There were 53,769 hospitalizations of active duty soldiers from January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2009, with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification psychiatric admission diagnoses. Administrative data available before hospital discharge abstracted from a wide range of data systems (sociodemographic, US Army career, criminal justice, and medical or pharmacy) were used to predict suicides in the subsequent 12 months using machine learning methods (regression trees and penalized regressions) designed to evaluate cross-validated linear, nonlinear, and interactive predictive associations.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Suicides of soldiers hospitalized with psychiatric disorders in the 12 months after hospital discharge.

RESULTS Sixty-eight soldiers died by suicide within 12 months of hospital discharge (12.0% of all US Army suicides), equivalent to 263.9 suicides per 100,000 person-years compared with 18.5 suicides per 100,000 person-years in the total US Army. The strongest predictors included sociodemographics (male sex [odds ratio (OR), 7.9; 95% CI, 1.9-32.6] and late age of enlistment [OR, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.0-3.5]), criminal offenses (verbal violence [OR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.2-4.0] and weapons possession [OR, 5.6; 95% CI, 1.7-18.3]), prior suicidality [OR, 2.9; 95% CI, 1.7-4.9], aspects of prior psychiatric inpatient and outpatient treatment (eg, number of antidepressant prescriptions filled in the past 12 months [OR, 1.3; 95% CI, 1.1-1.7]), and disorders diagnosed during the focal hospitalizations (eg, nonaffective psychosis [OR, 2.9; 95% CI, 1.2-7.0]). A total of 52.9% of posthospitalization suicides occurred after the 5% of hospitalizations with highest predicted suicide risk (3824.1 suicides per 100,000 person-years). These highest-risk hospitalizations also accounted for significantly elevated proportions of several other adverse posthospitalization outcomes (unintentional injury deaths, suicide attempts, and subsequent hospitalizations).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE The high concentration of risk of suicide and other adverse outcomes might justify targeting expanded posthospitalization interventions to soldiers classified as having highest posthospitalization suicide risk, although final determination requires careful consideration of intervention costs, comparative effectiveness, and possible adverse effects.
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The US Army suicide rate, although historically below the civilian rate, has increased since 2004 to exceed the civilian rate. Despite numerous efforts to address this problem, including universal interventions (eg, Ask/Care/Escort prevention education and depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, and suicide screening in all primary care encounters) and high-risk interventions (eg, postdeployment screening), the US Army suicide rate has continued to increase. One potentially important group for targeted interventions is soldiers recently discharged from inpatient psychiatric treatment. Such patients have long been known to have a high risk of suicide. US military administrative data document an 8-fold elevated suicide risk in the 3 months after psychiatric hospitalization and a 5-fold elevated risk for the remainder of the 12 months after hospitalization. A report on the similar patterns among civilians called for expansion of posthospitalization suicide preventive interventions, noting that such interventions in the United Kingdom (eg, required outpatient visits within 1 week of hospital discharge, assertive outreach for missed outpatient appointments, 24-hour community crisis teams, and intensive community support for patients difficult to engage in traditional services) were associated with significant before-after reductions in posthospitalization suicides.

Suicide is a rare outcome even among recently discharged psychiatric inpatients; therefore, the benefits of providing intensive posthospitalization suicide prevention interventions to all recently discharged inpatients are low. A more rational allocation of treatment resources would be to combine relatively inexpensive universal interventions with more intensively targeted high-risk interventions. However, this tiered approach would require developing a reliable risk stratification scheme. The US Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the US Department of Defense (DoD) called for this kind of differentiation in their Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) entitled Assessment and Management of Patients at Risk for Suicide. However, the CPG provided little concrete guidance on how these assessments should be implemented. Research has consistently revealed that health care professionals are not accurate in making such assessments.

One potentially promising approach to assessing posthospitalization suicide risk would be to use administrative data available during hospitalization to generate an actuarial posthospitalization suicide risk algorithm. Previous research has revealed that actuarial suicide prediction is much more accurate than prediction based on clinical judgment. An increasing number of computerized risk algorithms are being used as clinical decision support tools in other areas of medicine and have been found to improve clinical processes. Skepticism exists about developing such an algorithm for posthospitalization suicide interventions based on the relatively weak associations found in previous research on inhospital predictors and subsequent suicides. However, a stronger risk algorithm might be developed in the US Army because of the availability of integrated administrative data for all US Army personnel. Absence of such data in the general population is widely recognized as an impediment to big data health care solutions. A number of empirical studies have documented strong predictive associations between integrated US Army and DoD administrative data and subsequent US Army suicides, although none attempted to develop a risk algorithm for posthospitalization suicides. The objective of this study was to develop such an algorithm using administrative data from the Historical Administrative Data System (HADS) of the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS).

Methods

Sample
Creation and analysis of the consolidated and deidentified data system were approved by the Human Subjects Committees of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences for the Henry M. Jackson Foundation (the primary grantee), the University of Michigan Institute for Social Research (site of the Army STARRS Data Enclave), and Harvard Medical School (site of data analysis). Obtaining informed consent from individual soldiers, most of whom were no longer in service at the time the HADS was constructed, was not required because the data were deidentified.

There were 53,769 regular US Army hospitalizations from January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2009, with any International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) psychiatric admission diagnosis exclusive of tobacco use disorders (eTable 1 at http://www.armystarrs.org/publications). These hospitalizations involved 40,820 soldiers (30,763 with 1 hospitalization, 6,929 with 2, and 3,128 with >2), representing 0.9% of all regular US Army soldiers in any 12-month period. We excluded the 13,936 additional hospitalizations in which nicotine dependence was the only psychiatric diagnosis because these were invariably for physical disorders and nicotine dependence was noted based on withdrawal during hospitalization. There was no elevated posthospitalization suicide risk among these soldiers. We also excluded the 406 additional hospitalizations that occurred through emergency departments because of a suicide attempt without an accompanying ICD-9-CM psychiatric diagnosis. Four of these 406 soldiers died in the hospital, whereas none of the others died by suicide in the next 12 months. On the basis of evidence from another study indicating that predictors of posthospitalization suicide vary with time since discharge and elevated risk persists 12 months after discharge, a discrete-time person-month survival file was created to examine suicides in the 12 months after hospital discharge, censoring all person-months at the beginning of new hospitalizations or terminations of active duty and allowing interactions between substantive predictors and time since hospital discharge. All person-months with suicide were censored on the outcome, and all others were censored 0. This file contained 334,936 person-months for a mean of 6.2 months (334,936 per 53,760 months) after hospital discharge. This low mean reflects high rates of termination of service and subsequent hospitalization within 12 months of each hospitalization.
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Measures

The HADS includes data from 38 US Army and DoD administrative data systems26 (eTable 2 at http://www.armystarrs.org/publications). In a comprehensive review of published studies of predictors of civilian posthospitalization suicides, Troisier et al27 found 5 replicated classes of predictors: (1) sociodemographics (the most consistent being male sex and recent job loss), (2) history of prior suicidal behaviors, (3) quality of care (eg, low continuity of care), (4) time since hospital discharge (inversely related to suicide risk), and (5) other psychopathological risk factors (the most consistent being nonaffective psychosis, mood disorders, and multiple comorbid psychiatric disorders). Other studies28-29 found similar predictors. We extracted HADS variables operationalizing these predictors and added US Army career variables found to predict military suicides,19-22 unit variables, criminal justice variables (violence and standardized by time of hospitalization to adjust for temporal variation in suicide risk. Given that the number of hospitalizations per ventricle was much larger than the number of suicides, we focused on the CR in the highest-risk ventricle in selecting the best penalized model.

Once a best penalized model was selected, a conventional discrete-time survival model with a logistic link function was estimated using the same predictors as the best penalized model to explore nonlinear multivariate associations. Second, all predictors were discretized and analyzed with 100 regression trees in distinct bootstrap pseudo-samples using the R package part program12 to prevent overfitting33 and allow detecting interactions among predictors.25-28 Third, predictors having significant bivariate associations and interactions emerging in 10% or more of regression trees were included as predictors in multivariate survival models.

A central challenge in the third step was multicollinearity among the 421 predictors. The classic way to address this problem is with stepwise analysis,34 but this approach overfits.35 Machine learning methods reduce overfitting.36-37 The machine learning method we used was the elastic net,38 a penalized regression method that provides stable and sparse estimates of model parameters by explicitly penalizing overfitting with a composite penalty $\lambda(MPP \times \text{lasso} + (1 - MPP) \times \text{ridge})$, where MPP is a mixing parameter penalty with values between 0 and 1 that controls relative weighting between 2 types of penalties: the lasso penalty and the ridge penalty. The parameter $\lambda$ controls the total amount of penalization.39 The ridge penalty handles multicollinearity by shrinking all coefficients smoothly toward 0 but retains all variables in the model.40 The lasso penalty allows simultaneous coefficient shrinkage and variable selection, tending to select at most one predictor in each strongly correlated set but at the expense of giving unstable estimates in the presence of high multicollinearity.41 The elastic net approach of combining the ridge and lasso penalties has the advantage of yielding more stable and accurate estimates than either the ridge or lasso alone while maintaining model parsimony.42

The 3-step approach of combining regression trees with penalized regression for variable selection enabled us to incorporate possible interactions and nonlinearities in a clinically meaningful way while controlling for possible overfitting. The R package glmnet program42 was used to estimate penalized models with MPPs of 0.1, 0.4, 0.7, and 1.0 (an MPP of 0.0 was not used because of multicollinearity in the full predictor set). Internal 10-fold cross-validation selected the coefficient in front of the penalty. Comparative fit across the 20 specifications (ie, 4 MPP values for each of 5 constraints on the number of predictors) was evaluated by inspecting the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) and concentration of risk (CR). The CR is the proportion of observed suicides after hospitalizations in each ventricle (ie, 20 groups of hospitalizations of equal frequency) ordered by predicted suicide risk. Suicide risk of each hospitalization was calculated using coefficients to project risk as of 12 months after hospital discharge regardless of observed hospitalization data and censoring and standardized by time of hospitalization to adjust for temporal variation in suicide risk. Given that the number of hospitalizations per ventricle was much larger than the number of suicides, we focused on the CR in the highest-risk ventricle in selecting the best penalized model.
cient estimates to be unstable, we also used forward stepwise analysis with a .05-level entry criterion to select a stable subset of predictors for a reduced version of the logistic model. Coefficients in this reduced logistic model were then exponentiated to create odds ratios (ORs) for ease of interpretation. Ventiles from the best penalized model were then collapsed into risk strata using the logic of stratum-specific likelihood ratios. The CR, AUC, and the standardized (for amount of uncensored time observed after each hospitalization) suicide rates per 100,000 person-years were calculated for these risk strata. Finally, parallel rates of risk were calculated for unintentional injury deaths, attempted suicides, and subsequent hospitalizations in the same ventilators to evaluate other adverse outcomes associated with posthospitalization suicide risk.

Results

Patterns of Posthospitalization Suicide
Sixty-eight hospitalized soldiers died by suicide within 12 months of hospital discharge (263.9 suicides per 100,000 person-years vs 18.5 suicides per 100,000 in the total US Army), representing 12.0% of all US Army suicides. An additional 157 hospitalized soldiers died in other ways, and 22,010 others terminated active duty for other reasons (eg, administrative separation and retirement) within 12 months of hospital discharge.

Bivariate Associations of Predictors With Suicide
No interactions emerged in more than 10% of regression trees. However, 131 of the 421 bivariate associations (31.1%) between individual predictors and suicides were significant at the .05 level (eTables 5-9 and eTables 11-15 at http://www .armystars.org/publications). All these variables were used in the penalized multivariate models.

Selecting a Best Penalized Survival Model
A 10-fold cross-validation revealed that AUC was maximized across the 20 penalized survival models for an MPP of 1.0 (lasso) with 73 predictors and an MPP of 0.1 to 0.7 with 72 to 122 predictors (Figure 1). Because the lasso model yielded the best cross-validated CR in the highest-risk ventilator (52.9%) (Table 1), we estimated a conventional discrete-time survival model with a logistic link function using the same 73 predictors. This model had a much higher AUC (AUC, 0.89) and CR (61.8%) in the highest-risk ventilator than the lasso model with the same predictors, but this was because of overfitting (variance inflation factor >5 for 6 coefficients). Forward stepwise analysis selected a more stable set of predictors in a reduced logistic model, and this model, which contained 20 predictors, had a slightly lower AUC (AUC, 0.84) and CR (CR, 50.0%) in the highest-risk ventilator than the lasso model.

Caution is needed in interpreting predictors in the reduced logistic model because the variable selection algorithm maximized overall prediction accuracy rather than individual coefficient accuracy. It is nonetheless noteworthy that the model included variables in all predictor classes (Table 2):

1. Demographic characteristics (male sex, enlistment at ≥27 years of age, and US Armed Forces Qualification Test score >50th percentile; ORs, 1.9 [95% CI, 1.0-3.5] to 7.9 [95% CI, 1.9-32.6]), access to firearms (number of registered pistols; OR, 1.3; 95% CI, 1.0-1.6), crime perpetration (weapons possession or verbal assault; ORs, 2.2 [95% CI, 1.2-4.0] to 5.6 [95% CI, 1.7-18.3]), prior suicidality (ORs, 1.6 [95% CI, 1.1-2.5] to 2.9 [95% CI, 1.7-4.9]), prior psychiatric treatment (ORs, 0.3 [95% CI, 0.2-0.6] to 5.6 [95% CI, 1.8-17.7]), and characteristics of the focal hospitalization (ORs, 0.4 [95% CI, 0.2-0.7] to 6.0 [95% CI, 2.1-17.4]). The 2 ORs less than 1.0 were for (1) being above the 50th percentile on the ratio of number of psychiatric hospitalizations to time in service and (2) posttraumatic stress disorder during current hospitalization.

CR and Conditional Risk Distributions
Inspection of the CR across predicted risk ventilators led to creation of 4 risk strata. Most suicides occurred in the highest-risk stratum (which was made up of the 5% of hospitalizations in the highest-risk ventilator; CR, 52.9%) (Figure 2). The CR was lower (CR, 8.8%) in the second stratum (made up of the 5% of hospitalizations in the second-highest ventilator), lower still (CR, 4.2%) in a third stratum (made up of the 35% of hospitalizations in the next 7 ventilators), and lowest (CR, 0.8%) in the fourth stratum (made up of the 55% of suicides in the lowest 11 ventilators).
Suicide risk ranged from 1338.8 per 100,000 hospitalizations in the highest-risk stratum to 20.2 per 100,000 hospitalizations in the lowest-risk stratum (Table 3). However, because mean time in service after hospital discharge was considerably less than 12 months, suicide risk per 100,000 person-years was considerably higher than per 100,000 hospitalizations: 3824.1 per 100,000 person-years in the highest-risk stratum to 20.2 per 100,000 in the lowest-risk stratum.

### Stability of Estimates

The CR in the highest-risk stratum did not differ significantly, depending on whether (1) hospitalization was in a facility with a mental health inpatient unit vs a general medical facility without such a unit (48.2% vs 66.7%; χ² = 1.7; P = .19); (2) the suicide occurred before vs after September 1, 2008 (38.7% vs 70.3%; χ² = 12.4; P = .12); or (3) the suicide did vs did not occur within 3 months of hospital discharge (median time to postdischarge suicide: 52.6% vs 56.7%; χ² = 0.01; P = .99).

### Associations of Suicide Risk With Other Adverse Outcomes

Soldiers in the highest-risk stratum also had elevated risks of other adverse outcomes in the year after hospital discharge, including unintentional injury deaths (CR, 10.1%; χ² = 7.1; P = .008), suicide attempts (CR, 9.1%; χ² = 322.7; P < .001), and subsequent hospitalizations (7.5%; χ² = 893.4; P < .001). Soldiers in the highest predicted suicide risk stratum had 7 unintentional injury deaths, 830 suicide attempts, and 3765 subsequent hospitalizations within 12 months of hospital discharge.
At least one of these outcomes occurred after 46.3% of the highest-risk hospitalizations. 

Discussion

Although risk factors for suicide are widely known, synthesizing this information to optimize suicide prediction has been an elusive goal up to now. This study addressed this problem by using machine learning to generate an actuarial suicide risk algorithm from US Army and DoD administrative data, finding that 52.9% of suicides occurred after the 5% of hospitalizations with highest predicted risk. Although interventions in this high-risk stratum would not solve the entire US Army suicide problem given that posthospitalization suicides account for only 12% of all US Army suicides, the algorithm would presumably help target preventive interventions. Before clinical implementation, though, several key issues must be addressed.

The first question is whether the risk algorithm is sufficiently stable to predict future suicides given that it is based on only 68 prior suicides. It is noteworthy that the machine learning methods used to create the algorithm were designed explicitly to maximize stability of predictions. Within-sample stability analyses found that the CR did not vary significantly by type of inpatient facility, year of hospitalization, or number of months since hospital discharge; however, this does not guarantee future stability. Algorithm stability will consequently be tested again in the 2010-2013 US Army suicides data in a future study to address this question.

The second question is whether the risk algorithm improves on clinical judgment. The study was unable to examine this issue empirically because the US Army electronic medi-

Table 3. CR and Conditional Risk of Posthospitalization Suicides by Risk Strata Across All Hospitalizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Strata of Predicted Suicide Risk Based on the Lasso Modela</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Highest-Risk Stratum (First Ventile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed No. of suicides</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR, %b</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. per 100 000 person-years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations</td>
<td>1338.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-years</td>
<td>3824.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of hospitalizations</td>
<td>2689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR, concentration of risk; NA, not applicable.

a Ventiles of suicide risk are 20 groups of hospitalizations of equal frequency (n = 2688-2689 hospitalizations) dividing the total sample of 53,769 hospitalizations into groups defined by level of predicted suicide risk. The third through ninth ventiles were collapsed into a single risk stratum based on the fact that observed suicide risk was comparable in these 7 ventiles. The 10th through 20th ventiles were collapsed into a final risk stratum based on similar evidence.

b The CR, which is defined as the proportion of all the observed outcomes of the type that occurred in the 12 months after hospital discharge (or <12 months if the soldier terminated services before 12 months after hospital discharge) that occurred in the risk ventile represented by the column heading. The CR is defined separately for each of the 2 highest risk ventiles and then as a per-ventile mean for the next 7 ventiles treated as a single risk stratum and then final 11 ventiles treated as a separate risk stratum.

(492,666.2 per 100,000 person-years). At least one of these outcomes occurred after 46.3% of the highest-risk hospitalizations.
The high concentration of risk of suicides and other adverse outcomes might justifiably target expanded posthospitalization interventions to soldiers classified as having highest posthospitalization suicide risk, although final determination requires careful consideration of intervention costs, comparative effectiveness, and possible adverse effects.

Conclusions
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